Single Bench

(1) (5)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. OF 2006

PETITIONER : Harisingh Son of Sukhlal Singh, aged about 67 years, Ex-Carpenter, M.P. Transport (P) Ltd. Raipur, Resident of Giri Chowk, Badhaipara, Raipur, Town, Tahsil and District Raipur (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. The Board of Revenue C.G., Circuit Court, at Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Raipur (C.G.).
3. The Collector, Raipur (C.G.).
4. The Naib Tahsildar, Raipur, (C.G.).
5. The Police Station, City Kotwali, Raipur (C.G.), Through: The Station House Officer.
6. M. P. Transport Company (P) Ltd., Old Bus Stand, Raipur (C.G.); Through : Its Managing Director.
7. Prahladrai Ahuja, Managing Director, M. P. Transport (P) Ltd; Old Bus Stand, Raipur (C.G.), (**Since Deceased**);

P.R. No. 6126/06
Presented by Adv. M.K. Bhaduri
dated 6-11-06

RECEIVED COPY
6/11/06
A.G. BILASPUR

संभाग आयुक्त, बिलासपुर

(2) (6)

7.A Virendra Ahuja Son of Late Shri Prahladram Ahuja;

7.B Mahendra Ahuja Son of Late Shri Prahladram Ahuja;

7.C Amit Ahuja Son of Late Shri Prahladram Ahuja;

All residents of near P.W.D. Office Akashwani Chowk, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE ORDER (S), DIRECTION (S) AND WRIT (S), TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER

XI-HC-78

(45)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 6630/2006 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

6-12-2006

Shri M.K. Bhaduri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Govt. Advocate for the State.

Heard on admission.

By this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner seeks a direction to respondent No. 1 – Board of Revenue, C.G., Raipur to decide a pending case bearing Revenue Revision No. 49-A/76/2002-03 which is pending before the Board since 2002 expeditiously.

From perusal of document of Annexure P-6, it appears that on 19-6-2006, some application was filed, the parties were directed to file written arguments and the matter was fixed for hearing on 18-9-2006. Subsequent proceeding before the Board of Revenue has not been brought on record by the petitioner.

In the aforesaid circumstances, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file appropriate application before the Board of Revenue for expediting the matter and the same shall be considered by the Board on its own merits.

Consequently, I.A. no. 11335/2006 stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Dhirednra Mishra
Judge

P.T.O.

13.12.06 22/12/06 1814